

Deborah C. Prosser (SBN 109856)  
Stephanie A. Hingle (SBN 199396)  
KUTAK ROCK LLP  
515 So. Figueroa Boulevard, Suite 1240  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: (213) 312-4000  
Facsimile: (213) 312-4001  
Email: Deborah.Prosser@KutakRock.com  
Email: Stephanie.Hingle@KutakRock.com

Attorneys for Defendants  
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY and  
GE HEALTHCARE INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND**

CAROL MOORHOUSE and  
JAMES MOORHOUSE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BAYER HEALTHCARE  
PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.;  
BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC;  
GENERAL ELECTRIC  
COMPANY; GE HEALTHCARE,  
INC.; COVIDIEN, INC.;  
MALLINCKRODT, INC.;  
BRACCO DIAGNOSTICS, INC.;  
McKESSON CORPORATION;  
MERRY X-RAY CHEMICAL  
CORP.; and DOES 1 through 35,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:08-CV-01831 SBA

**NOTICE OF CONDITIONAL  
TRANSFER ORDER**

**[Jury Trial Demanded]**

(San Francisco County Superior Court,  
Case No.: CGC-08-472978)

**TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF  
RECORD:**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on February 27, 2008, the MultiDistrict  
Litigation Panel issued a Transfer Order establishing an MDL for Gadolinium-  
based contrast agent actions. On April 23, 2008, the MDL Panel issued a  
Conditional Transfer Order designating the above-entitled action for transfer to the


4823-1497-8562.1

- 1 -

1 MDL. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of the Conditional  
2 Transfer Order.

3 Dated: April 25, 2008

KUTAK ROCK LLP

4  
5 By:   
6 Deborah C. Prosser  
7 Stephanie A. Hingle  
8 Attorneys for Defendants  
9 GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY  
10 and GE HEALTHCARE INC.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# EXHIBIT “A”

on  
**MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**CHAIRMAN:**  
Judge John G. Heyburn II  
United States District Court  
Western District of Kentucky

**MEMBERS:**  
Judge D. Lowell Jensen  
United States District Court  
Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz  
United States District Court  
District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.  
United States District Court  
Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil  
United States District Court  
District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen  
United States Court of Appeals  
Eighth Circuit

Judge Anthony J. Scirica  
United States Court of Appeals  
Third Circuit

**DIRECT REPLY TO:**

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel  
One Columbus Circle, NE  
Thurgood Marshall Federal  
Judiciary Building  
Room G-255, North Lobby  
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: (202) 502-2800  
Fax: (202) 502-2888  
<http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov>

April 23, 2008

TO INVOLVED COUNSEL

Re: MDL No. 1909 -- IN RE: Gadolinium Contrast Dyes Products Liability Litigation

(See Attached CTO-5)

Dear Counsel:

Attached hereto is a copy of a conditional transfer order filed today by the Panel involving the above-captioned matter. This matter is transferred pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001). Copies of Rule 5.2, dealing with service, and Rules 7.4 and 7.5, regarding "tag-along" actions, are attached for your convenience.

Inasmuch as there is an unavoidable time lag between notification of the pendency of the tag-along action and the filing of a conditional transfer order, counsel are required by Rule 7.4(b) to notify this office **BY FACSIMILE**, at (202) 502-2888, of any official changes in the status of the tag-along action. These changes could involve dismissal of the action, remand to state court, transfer to another federal court, etc., as indicated by an order filed by the district court. Your cooperation would be appreciated.


**NOTICE OF OPPOSITION DUE ON OR BEFORE: May 8, 2008 (4 p.m. EST)**  
(Facsimile transmission is suggested.)

If you are considering opposing this conditional transfer order, please review Rules 7.4 and 7.5 of the Panel Rules before filing your Notice of Opposition.

A list of involved counsel is attached.

Very truly,

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

By   
Deputy Clerk

Attachments

**Exh. A**  
**-4-**

APR 23 2008

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL**  
**on**  
**MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS**  
**LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1909

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-5)**

On February 27, 2008, the Panel transferred 20 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* \_\_\_ F.Supp.2d \_\_\_ (J.P.M.L. 2008). Since that time, 59 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of February 27, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffrey N. Luthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Exh. A

**IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1909

**SCHEDULE CTO-5 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

**DIST. DIV. C.A. #**

**CASE CAPTION**

**CALIFORNIA NORTHERN**

CAN 4 08-1831

Carol Moorhouse, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

**NEW YORK SOUTHERN**

NYS 1 08-3385

David W. Lafforthun, et al. v. GE Healthcare, Inc., et al.

NYS 1 08-3387

Shiral Stidham v. General Electric Co., et al.

NYS 1 08-3389

Pavel Mamut, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.

NYS 1 08-3427

Vauna Kathleen Ratner, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

**PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN**

PAE 2 08-1605

Dorothy Karros, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.

**TENNESSEE MIDDLE**

TNM 1 08-20

Sandra Litaker v. General Electric Co., et al.

**IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1909

**INVOLVED COUNSEL LIST (CTO-5)**

Galen Driscoll Bellamy  
WHEELER TRIGG KENNEDY LLP  
1801 California Street  
Suite 3600  
Denver, CO 80202

Philip Bohrer  
BOHRER LAW FIRM LLC  
8712 Jefferson Highway  
Suite B  
Baton Rouge, LA 70809

Debra Irwin DeCarli  
LEVIN SIMES KAISER & GORNICK LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
36th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Michael L. Fox  
SEDGWICK DETERT MORAN  
& ARNOLD LLP  
One Market Plaza Steuart Tower  
8th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Stephanie A. Hingle  
KUTAK ROCK LLP  
515 South Figueroa Street  
Suite 1240  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3329

Jane E. Joseph  
LOPEZ HODES RESTAINO MILMAN  
& SIKOS  
625 Market Street  
11th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Alan L. Lani  
HUGHES & COLEMAN  
444 James Robertson Parkway  
Suite 201  
Nashville, TN 37219

Aggie Byul Lee  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
515 South Flower Street  
42nd Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-2223

Scott D. Levensten  
LEVENSTEN LAW FIRM PC  
1325 Spruce Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19107

Frank C. Rothrock  
SHOOK HARDY & BACON LLP  
Jamboree Center, Suite 1600  
5 Park Plaza  
Irvine, CA 92614-2546

Amy W. Schulman  
DLA PIPER US LLP  
1251 Avenues of the Americas  
27th Floor  
New York, NY 10020-1104

Eileen B. Smith  
WALLER LANSDEN DORTCH & DAVIS  
Nashville City Center  
511 Union Street  
Suite 2700  
Nashville, TN 37219

(a) Upon learning of the pendency of a potential "tag-along action," as defined in Rule 1.1 of these Rules, an order may be entered by the Clerk of the Panel transferring that action to the previously designated transferee district court on the basis of the prior hearing session(s) and for the reasons expressed in previous opinions and orders of the Panel in the litigation. The Clerk of the Panel shall serve this order on each party to the litigation but, in order to afford all parties the opportunity to oppose transfer, shall not send the order to the clerk of the transferee district court for fifteen days from the entry thereof.

(b) Parties to an action subject to a conditional transfer order shall notify the Clerk of the Panel within the fifteen-day period if that action is no longer pending in its transferor district court.

(c) Any party opposing the transfer shall file a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within the fifteen-day period. If a notice of opposition is received by the Clerk of the Panel within this fifteen-day period, the Clerk of the Panel shall not transmit said order to the clerk of the transferee district court until further order of the Panel. The Clerk of the Panel shall notify the parties of the briefing schedule.

(d) Within fifteen days of the filing of its notice of opposition, the party opposing transfer shall file a motion to vacate the conditional transfer order and brief in support thereof. The Chairman of the Panel shall set the motion for the next appropriate hearing session of the Panel. Failure to file and serve a motion and brief shall be treated as withdrawal of the opposition and the Clerk of the Panel shall forthwith transmit the order to the clerk of the transferee district court.

(e) Conditional transfer orders do not become effective unless and until they are filed with the clerk of the transferee district court.

(f) Notices of opposition and motions to vacate such orders of the Panel and responses thereto shall be governed by Rules 5.12, 5.2, 7.1 and 7.2 of these Rules.

RULE 7.5: MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS CONCERNING "TAG-ALONG ACTIONS"

(a) Potential "tag-along actions" filed in the transferee district require no action on the part of the Panel and requests for assignment of such actions to the Section 1407 transferee judge should be made in accordance with local rules for the assignment of related actions.

(b) Upon learning of the pendency of a potential "tag-along action" and having reasonable anticipation of opposition to transfer of that action, the Panel may direct the Clerk of the Panel to file a show cause order, in accordance with Rule 7.3 of these Rules, instead of a conditional transfer order.

(c) Failure to serve one or more of the defendants in a potential "tag-along action" with the complaint and summons as required by Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure does not preclude transfer of such action under Section 1407. Such failure, however, may be submitted by such a defendant as a basis for opposing the proposed transfer if prejudice can be shown. The inability of the Clerk of the Panel to serve a conditional transfer order on all plaintiffs or defendants or their counsel shall not render the transfer of the action void but can be submitted by such a party as a basis for moving to remand as to such party if prejudice can be shown.

(d) A civil action apparently involving common questions of fact with actions under consideration by the Panel for transfer under Section 1407, which was either not included in a motion under Rule 7.2 of these Rules, or was included in such a motion that was filed too late to be included in the initial hearing session, will ordinarily be treated by the Panel as a potential "tag-along action."

(e) Any party or counsel in actions previously transferred under Section 1407 or under consideration by the Panel for transfer under Section 1407 shall promptly notify the Clerk of the Panel of any potential "tag-along actions" in which that party is also named or in which that counsel appears.



RULE 5.2: SERVICE OF PAPERS FILED

(a) All papers filed with the Clerk of the Panel shall be accompanied by proof of previous or simultaneous service on all other parties in all actions involved in the litigation. Service and proof of service shall be made as provided in Rules 5 and 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The proof of service shall indicate the name and complete address of each person served and shall indicate the party represented by each. If a party is not represented by counsel, the proof of service shall indicate the name of the party and the party's last known address. The proof of service shall indicate why any person named as a party in a constituent complaint was not served with the Section 1407 pleading. The original proof of service shall be filed with the Clerk of the Panel and copies thereof shall be sent to each person included within the proof of service. After the "Panel Service List" described in subsection (d) of this Rule has been received from the Clerk of the Panel, the "Panel Service List" shall be utilized for service of responses to motions and all other filings. In such instances, the "Panel Service List" shall be attached to the proof of service and shall be supplemented in the proof of service in the event of the presence of additional parties or subsequent corrections relating to any party, counsel or address already on the "Panel Service List."

(b) The proof of service pertaining to motions for transfer of actions pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 shall certify that copies of the motions have been mailed or otherwise delivered for filing to the clerk of each district court in which an action is pending that will be affected by the motion. The proof of service pertaining to a motion for remand pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 shall certify that a copy of the motion has been mailed or otherwise delivered for filing to the clerk of the Section 1407 transferee district court in which any action affected by the motion is pending.

(c) Within eleven days of filing of a motion to transfer, an order to show cause or a conditional transfer order, each party or designated attorney shall notify the Clerk of the Panel, in writing, of the name and address of the attorney designated to receive service of all pleadings, notices, orders and other papers relating to practice before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. Only one attorney shall be designated for each party. Any party not represented by counsel shall be served by mailing such pleadings to the party's last known address. Requests for an extension of time to file the designation of attorney shall not be granted except in extraordinary circumstances.

(d) In order to facilitate compliance with subsection (a) of this Rule, the Clerk of the Panel shall prepare and serve on all counsel and parties not represented by counsel, a "Panel Service List" containing the names and addresses of the designated attorneys and the party or parties they represent in the actions under consideration by the Panel and the names and addresses of the parties not represented by counsel in the actions under consideration by the Panel. After the "Panel Service List" has been received from the Clerk of the Panel, notice of subsequent corrections relating to any party, counsel or address on the "Panel Service List" shall be served on all other parties in all actions involved in the litigation.

(e) If following transfer of any group of multidistrict litigation, the transferee district court appoints liaison counsel, this Rule shall be satisfied by serving each party in each affected action and all liaison counsel. Liaison counsel designated by the transferee district court shall receive copies of all Panel orders concerning their particular litigation and shall be responsible for distribution to the parties for whom he or she serves as liaison counsel.